

# Feeding Protocols: Evaluating Utilization and Outcomes using Digital Electronic Health **Record (EHR) Tools**

Misty Virmani M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Dave Genetti<sup>2</sup>, Aamir Nayeem<sup>2</sup>, Tammi Jantzen<sup>2</sup>, Ashley Ross M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Laura Carroll M.D.<sup>1</sup>. Department of Pediatrics, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Astarte Medical

# BACKGROUND

- Evidence-based feeding protocols improve nutrition and neonatal outcomes in preterm infants
- Digital tools that harness data collected in the Electronic Health Record (EHR) is urgently needed

# **PURPOSE AND HYPOTHESIS**

Improving compliance with established feeding advancement protocols will improve neonatal outcomes by decreasing time to full enteral feeds and reducing parenteral nutrition days

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

- ✤ A .NET application to extract data directly from the EHR using secure Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources Application Programming Interfaces (FHIR APIs) was developed
- An intuitive, user-friendly display was developed applying a proprietary Nutrition IQ framework to three complex feeding protocols over a 5 year period
- Inclusion criteria identified 227 unique individuals
  - ✤ <38 weeks estimated gestational age</p>
  - Admitted before 3 days I
  - ✤ 3 cohorts
    - Cohort 1- infants born <30-week gestation (96)</p>
    - Cohort 2 EGA 30 0/7 to 32 6/7 (121)
    - Cohort 3 birthweight <1500g (135)</p>
- Exclusion
  - Infants whose feeds initiated at 15 days or more were excluded from data analysis to account for surgical diagnoses (19)



NICUtrition®

by Astarte Medical

## PROTOCOLS

- Protocol 1 advance by 10-30ml/kg (weight-based); fortification with bovine human milk fortifier(bHMF) at 80 and 100ml/kg; ELBW have 3-5 day trophic (20ml/kg)
- Protocol 2 –advance 15-40ml/kg; shortened trophic interval to 3 days or less; fortification with bHMF to 24kcal/oz at 90ml/kg
- ✤ Protocol 3 BWT <1250 grams fortified with human milk HMF</p> to 26kcal/oz (24kcal/oz at 80ml/kg/d, 26kcal/oz at 105ml/kg/d); volume increments same as protocol 2, infants >1250g fed under protocol 2

# RESULTS

- Baseline characteristics of cohorts did not statistically differ
- Compliance with feeding protocols increased steadily across the feeding protocols reaching statistical significance in all groups (Tables 1 and 2)
- Comparing protocol 1 to 3 showed a statistically and clinically significant decrease in days until the first fortification in all cohorts (Tables 1, 2)
- Days on parenteral nutrition (PN) trended towards a decrease in cohorts 1&2 (Table 1) but was unchanged in cohort 3
- Time to full enteral feeding volume decreased in all cohorts from protocol 1 to 3, reaching statistical significance in cohort 1 (Table 1) and approaching significance in cohort 3 (Table 2)
- NEC was identified as a balancing measure to alterations in feeding protocols. Data for NEC from 2017-2020 for cohort 3 (2.7-5.7%) did not differ between protocols 1, 2 or 3. NEC rates during the EHMD protocol were lower than previous years recorded (1.7%) but the period and number of patients with NEC are too few to establish a correlation with the EHMD protocol.

# CONCLUSIONS

- Measuring the effectiveness of enteral feeding protocols is costly and time prohibitive
- Implementing software that harnesses EHR data allows for realtime monitoring of protocol adherence and patient outcomes, enabling clinical teams to continuously educate staff and make adjustments to improve patient outcomes



## Table 1

Age at Admission to Excludes infants that

# Infants Birthweight, g Mean GA @ B First Enteral F First Fortificat First Full Feed Protocol Com PN Days Length of Stay Birth to D/C We Birth to D/C H

## Table 2

Age at Admission Excludes infants th # Infants Birthweight, Mean GA @ E First Enteral F First Fortifica First Full Feed Protocol Com PN Days Length of Sta Birth to D/C W Birth to D/C I

#### Table 3

Age at Admission Excludes infants t # Infants Birthweight, Mean GA @ | First Enteral First Fortifica First Full Fee Protocol Con PN Days Length of Sta Birth to D/C W Birth to D/C



	Cohort 1: <30 weekers					
o 3 days t start feeds >15 days	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3		
	23	24	49			
grams	1089	1050	1105			
irth	27 4/7	27 3/7	27 3/7			
eed (# days)	4.6	4.9	5.8	0.1013		
ion (# days)	13.3	16.0	20.3	0.0069		
l (# days)	18.2	18.4	21.9	0.1673		
pliance	70%	62%	41%	2.786E-07		
	21.5	24.2	23.2	0.6117		
y	90.6	90.7	78.6	0.1810		
eight Z-score Delta	-1.2	-1.2	-1.3	0.3907		
IC Z-score Delta	-0.1	-1.1	-0.7	0.1075		

	Cohort 2: 30 0/7 week - 32 6/7 week					
to 3 days at start feeds >15 days	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3		
	35	42	44			
grams	1726	1619	1591			
Birth	31 5/7	31 3/7	31 1/7			
<sup>=</sup> eed (# days)	4.1	3.7	4.4	0.5424		
tion (# days)	9.6	10.0	13.5	0.0203		
d (# days)	8.7	10.0	13.7	0.0071		
npliance	58%	56%	39%	1.935E-04		
	12.4	9.8	14.9	0.5401		
ау	51.1	48.2	48.8	0.7639		
/eight Z-score Delta	-1.0	-0.9	-1.1	0.3180		
HC Z-score Delta	-0.2	-0.5	-0.6	0.0577		

	Cohort 3: VLBW <1500 g					
n to 3 days hat start feeds >15 days	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3		
	33	39	63			
grams	1146	1136	1125			
Birth	28 4/7	28 6/7	28 2/7			
<sup>=</sup> eed (# days)	4.6	4.6	5.5	0.1617		
tion (# days)	12.3	15.5	18.9	0.0027		
d (# days)	16.1	17.3	20.2	0.0727		
npliance	60%	58%	41%	4.193E-05		
	21.4	20.2	21.0	0.9172		
ay	82.2	75.3	71.6	0.1709		
/eight Z-score Delta	-1.1	-1.0	-1.2	0.3196		
HC Z-score Delta	-0.1	-0.7	-0.6	0.0757		





Protocol 1 – feed volume advanced by 10-30ml/kg based on birth weight categories; 2 step fortification with bovine human milk fortifier(bHMF) at 80 and 100ml/kg/d; 3-5 day trophic (20ml/kg/d) feedings for ELBW infants.

Protocol 2 – feed volume advancement 15-40ml/kg/d; shortened trophic interval to 3 days or less; single step fortification with bHMF to 24kcal/oz at 90ml/kg

Protocol 3, infants born under 1250 grams were fortified with human milk based HMF with advancement to goal 26kcal/oz (fortify to 24kcal/oz at 80ml/kg/d, 26kcal/oz at 105ml/kg/d); volume increments same as protocol 2, infants >1250g fed under protocol 2

able 1	Cohort 1: <30 weekers			Cohort 2: 30 0/7 week - 32 6/7 week				
ge at Admission to 3 days cludes infants that start feeds >15 days	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3
Infants	23	24	49		35	42	44	
irthweight, grams	1089	1050	1105		1726	1619	1591	
lean GA @ Birth	27 4/7	27 3/7	27 3/7		31 5/7	31 3/7	31 1/7	
irst Enteral Feed (# days)	4.6	4.9	5.8	0.1013	4.1	3.7	4.4	0.5424
irst Fortification (# days)	13.3	16.0	20.3	0.0069	9.6	10.0	13.5	0.0203
irst Full Feed (# days)	18.2	18.4	21.9	0.1673	8.7	10.0	13.7	0.0071
rotocol Compliance	70%	62%	41%	2.786E-07	58%	56%	39%	1.935E-04
N Days	21.5	24.2	23.2	0.6117	12.4	9.8	14.9	0.5401
ength of Stay	90.6	90.7	78.6	0.1810	51.1	48.2	48.8	0.7639
irth to D/C Weight Z-score Delta	-1.2	-1.2	-1.3	0.3907	-1.0	-0.9	-1.1	0.3180
irth to D/C HC Z-score Delta	-0.1	-1.1	-0.7	0.1075	-0.2	-0.5	-0.6	0.0577

ble 2	Cohort 3: VLBW <1500 g				
e at Admission to 3 days Excludes infants that start ds >15 days	Protocol 3	Protocol 2	Protocol 1	P Value Protocol 1 vs 3	
Infants	33	39	63		
rthweight, grams	1146	1136	1125		
ean GA @ Birth	28 4/7	28 6/7	28 2/7		
rst Enteral Feed (# days)	4.6	4.6	5.5	0.1617	
rst Fortification (# days)	12.3	15.5	18.9	0.0027	
rst Full Feed (# days)	16.1	17.3	20.2	0.0727	
otocol Compliance	60%	58%	41%	4.193E-05	
N Days	21.4	20.2	21.0	0.9172	
ength of Stay	82.2	75.3	71.6	0.1709	
th to D/C Weight Z-score Delta	-1.1	-1.0	-1.2	0.3196	
rth to D/C HC Z-score Delta	-0.1	-0.7	-0.6	0.0757	





