

Faster Increase of Feedings in LBW Infants; Feasibility, Safety and Monitoring of **Growth Milestones With Clinical Decision Support to Improve Compliance and Metrics**

BACKGROUND Ear an • Inadequate nutrition early in life is major contributor to growth failure and neurodevelopmental impairment • Even though feeding a low birthweight (LBW) infant is a fundamental part of management, a great deal of heterogeneity Feed of practice exists • Each NICU develops its own protocol and although often consensus-based, compliance is difficult to track, and impact of adherence is largely unknown PURPOSE Grov • Evaluate effectiveness and safety of a protocol on feeding milestones and growth • New feeding protocol implemented at level III NICU (Aug 2020) • To understand current standard of care, 5 years of nutritional practices used to benchmark feeding milestones, growth and Mor outcomes • After implementation, tracked provision of nutrition under the Protocol Period, including recent period of using clinical decision support tool imp **METHODS** • Data for 624 LBW infants born <36 weeks gestational age including a sub-cohort of 86 very low birthweight (VLBW, Feedir <1500g, <34 weeks GA) was analyzed • Feeding protocol based on three categories of gestational age at birth (<32, 32-34, and >34 wks) • Protocol recommended: jrowt • Initiation of enteral feeds in first 12 hours of life Advancement at rates of 20-40cc/kg/day • Fortification to 24cal at 100-120cc/kg/day (for infants <34 weeks) Opera • Feeding protocol incorporated into a NutritionIQ platform, NICUtrition[®], which imported data directly from EMR to allow for analysis of adherence to the protocol, tracked milestones and Morbi outcomes, and provided clinical decision support

Gomez, Pomar E, MD, MsC.¹, Warren, T.² Jantzen, T², Genetti, D² ¹ St. Bernards Medical Center, ²Astarte Medical

rlier initiation of enteral feed	LBW <36 Weeks					
and improves growth	After Protocol	Before Protocol				
# Infants	229	395				
Mean GA @ Birth	33 1/7	33 1/7	p-value			
ding Milestones						
First Enteral Feed (# days)	0.5	0.8	0.00001			
First Fortification (# days)	4.5	7.8	2.51E-15			
First Full Feed (# days)	4.4	6.1	8.86E-12			
PN Days	2.0	3.0	7.90E-04			
Full Oral Feeds (# days)	11.3	10.4	0.29402			
wth						
Return to Birthweight (# days)	11.8	12.3	0.26932			
Avg Growth Velocity (g/kg/day)	15.3	15.5	0.59681			
Avg 34 wks PMA z-score	-0.5	-0.6	0.36881			
Birth to D/C weight z-score delta	-0.6	-0.7	0.00016			
Birth to D/C HC z-score delta	0.0	-0.4	0.04237			
Length of Stay	25.9	21.2	0.00347			
NFC	0.0%	0.3%	0.03042			
Sepsis	1.3%	3.5%	0.09875			

Low Birthweight Infants <36 weeks (n=624)

Very Low Birthweight Infants <34 weeks (n=86)

aster increases safe and asible for VLBW infants						
roved compliance with use	After	Before	g	After	LBW <1500 c After	
	Protocol	Protocol		NICUtrition®	Protocol	
# Infants	28	58		19	9	
Mean GA @ Birth	29 0/7	29 2/7	p-value	29 3/7	28 2/7	p-value
ng Milestones						
First Enteral Feed (# days)	0.9	1.2	0.05190	0.9	0.9	0.982181
First Fortification (# days)	6.0	9.5	3.88E-07	4.7	8.6	0.000488
First Full Feed (# days)	6.6	8.9	0.00021	5.6	8.8	0.006578
PN Days	7.1	9.0	0.02817	5.5	10.7	0.012119
Full Oral Feeds (# days)	30.3	29.0	0.68328	29.6	31.7	0.734141
h						
Return to Birthweight (# days)	11.9	12.8	0.39324	10.9	14.0	0.060102
Avg Growth Velocity (g/kg/day)	15.9	15.4	0.42394	16.4	14.7	0.095326
Birth to D/C weight z-score delta	-0.4	-0.9	0.00010	-0.3	-0.6	0.151002
Birth to D/C HC z-score delta	0.5	-0.7	0.14939	0.7	0.2	0.673875
ational Metrics						
Protocol Compliance	50%	N/A		52%	42%	0.464124
Length of Stay	63.2	51.6	0.02009	56.7	77.0	0.045039
dities (AVG)						
NEC	0%	0%	NM	0%	0%	NM
Sepsis	4%	14%	0.150222	0%	11%	0.149557



RESULTS

• Implementation of feeding protocol resulted in statistically significant earlier first and full enteral feeds and earlier fortification

• Average growth velocity was similar between the periods, but infants experienced better growth, as measured in z-score change from birth to discharge for weight and head circumference

• Infants had statistically significant fewer days of parenteral nutrition (PN) • Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and late onset sepsis rates were reduced with introduction of the protocol, with NEC being statistically significant

• With the introduction of the protocol, infants received earlier fortification and achieved full feeds faster, resulting in better growth with weight z-score change from birth to discharge being statistically significant

• Compliance to the protocol was 42%, and with introduction of the tool increased to 52%, further accelerating milestone achievement and reduced length of stay by 20 days on average (p=0.045)

• Sepsis and NEC were reduced but did not achieve statistical significance

CONCLUSIONS

- Nutrition planning and protocol development benefit from accurate, real-time assessment of practice to inform not only clinical patient care but also research and quality improvement Considerable time and effort are expended to develop feeding guidelines given there are no national standards to direct when to initiate, advance and fortify enteral feeds
- It is feasible, effective and safe to introduce early enteral feeds and fortification and rapidly advance for LBW infants improving milestones and growth
- Such practices are safe and effective for VLBW infants born prior to 34 weeks resulting in better growth
- Clinical decision support can drive standardization to a feeding protocol, make data accessible and analysis feasible in times of limited resources and improve operational metrics

